

Joinpoint regression analysis of suicides in Denmark during 1980-2015

Susanne Mahmood Dyvesether¹, Merete Nordentoft¹⁻³, Julie Lyng Forman⁴ & Annette Erlangsen^{1,5,6}

ABSTRACT

INTRODUCTION: Major advances have been achieved in suicide prevention over the past decades. Effective suicide prevention requires vigilant monitoring of suicide trends. The aim of this study was to assess the change in the Danish suicide rate over time using joinpoint regression analysis and to examine the distribution of suicide methods in the 1980-2015 period.

METHODS: Nationwide register data were obtained from the Central Research Register and the Danish Cause of Death Register. Rates were calculated per 100,000 persons by gender and age group. Joinpoint regression analysis was used to assess changes over time in the overall suicide rate.

RESULTS: The suicide rate declined from 40.4 (95% confidence interval (CI): 38.4-42.3) in 1980 to 11.9 (95% CI: 10.9-12.9) in 2015. A significant reduction ranging between 2-6% was observed during 1980-1999 after which the decrease was modest. The rate was higher for men than for women (rate ratio 1.9; 95% CI: 1.7-2.1), and more so by the end of the period (rate ratio = 2.4; 95% CI: 1.9-2.8).

The suicide rate was found to increase with increasing age. Hanging, poisoning and shooting were the most frequent methods for men, whereas poisoning followed by hanging and drowning were the most frequent methods for women.

CONCLUSIONS: The suicide rate fell sharply between 1980 and 1999 in Denmark, but since then the decrease has been modest, especially since 2007. Further reduction in the suicide rate requires new and effective measures.

FUNDING: none.

TRIAL REGISTRATION: not relevant

Suicide is a major health problem in Denmark and bears extensive individual and social costs. Historically, the suicide rate in Denmark has been on a higher level than the rates of the other Scandinavian countries despite comparable welfare systems and economic and social conditions [1, 2]. The suicide rate in Denmark increased from 25 to around 30 per 100,000 inhabitants from 1970 to 1980 [3]. In 1980, a sharp decrease in the rate occurred [4]; after this decline, the rate declined to a level comparable to that of the other Scandinavian countries [4].

Psychiatric, demographic, economic as well as social risk factors for suicide have been identified. Among young people, suicide counts as one of the most frequent causes of death although the suicide rate is high-

est among persons aged 80 years and above [5]. Suicide risk is particularly high among persons hospitalised and recently discharged from psychiatric departments, but an increased risk is also noted in relation to a family history of suicide, unemployment and among singles [6].

Various opportunities for prevention have been identified [7, 8]. Prevention strategies are often characterised according to the universally-selected-indicated model (USI model), denoting whether the intervening effort is aimed at the general population (universal), a risk group (selected) or specific individuals at risk of suicide (indicated) [9]. Examples of intervening efforts are: means restriction, such as changing pack sizes of analgesics, screening in general practice and psychosocial therapy [10]. In order to optimise prevention, it is important to monitor the development of the suicide rate. WHO has emphasised the need for continuous monitoring of suicide rates and points out that a national action plan is an essential part of prevention [11]. Danish registry data constitute a unique opportunity to monitor developments concurrently with implementation of prevention strategies.

In 1998, the Danish National Action Plan for Suicide Prevention was prepared [12], and in 1999 a five-year reference group was implemented. This resulted in an increased focus on suicide and marked the onset of several suicide prevention projects. However, in 2005 the National Action Plan for Suicide Prevention was dismissed. Possibly, these efforts would have had a long-term impact on the suicide rate.

The purpose of this study was: 1) to analyse the development of the suicide rate from 1980 to 2015 using joinpoint regression analysis, 2) to analyse the suicide rates specifically for gender and age groups, and 3) to study the distribution of suicide methods from 2000 to 2014. This is highly relevant to optimise prevention and evaluate already initiated efforts.

METHODS

The study was carried out using a register-based cohort design.

Data sources

Individual-based registry data from the Danish Civil Registration System (CPR) and the Danish Cause of Death

ORIGINAL ARTICLE

- 1) Danish Research Institute for Suicide Prevention, Mental Health Centre Copenhagen
- 2) Institute of Clinical Medicine, University of Copenhagen
- 3) Research Unit, Mental Health Centre Copenhagen
- 4) Section of Biostatistics, Department of Public Health, University of Copenhagen
- 5) Department of Mental Health, Johns Hopkins Bloomberg School of Public Health, USA
- 6) Institute of Regional Health Research, University of Southern Denmark, Denmark

Dan Med J
2018;65(4):A5477

FIGURE 1

Joinpoint analysis of changes in the suicide rate per 100,000 persons in Denmark, 1980-2015.





FIGURE 2

Suicide rates per 100,000 persons for men and women in 1980-2015



Registry (COD) were linked via the unique CPR-number. The CPR covers socio-demographical data on all persons living in Denmark and COD includes death date, cause of death and other related information.

Participants

All persons residing in Denmark (except Greenland and the Faroe Islands) aged 15 years or older from 1 January 1980 to 31 December 2015 were included as participants.

Outcome

The outcome of interest was death by suicide. In Denmark, cases of unnatural deaths, such as suicide, are ex-

amined by regional county doctors who determine the cause of death. If the cause of death is unknown or if conditions apply, which make the determination uncertain, such as substance abuse, then a medico-legal examination is conducted. Causes of death were recorded in the COD according to the eighth revision of the International Classification of Diseases (ICD-8) until 1993, after which the ICD-10 was used. During the examined period, suicide was coded as ICD-8: 950-959 and ICD-10: X60-X84.

Methods of suicide were examined for recent years where data were available, i.e. 2000-2014. Suicide methods were divided into the following categories: poisoning (X60-X69), hanging (X70), drowning (X71), shooting (X72-X74), sharp object (X78-X79), jumping from a high place (X80), jumping in front of moving object, e.g. train or car (X81-X82) and other causes (X75-77, X83-X84).

Data analysis

The suicide rate was calculated for each year as the number of suicides per 100,000 persons in the population. Rates were calculated by gender (men, women) and age group (15-34 years, 35-64 years, 65+ years). In addition, 95% confidence intervals (CI) were obtained.

Changes in the suicide rate from 1980 to 2015 were analysed using a joinpoint regression model. Based on a Poisson regression model for incidence rates, the positions of joinpoints and regression coefficients were estimated while the optimal number of joinpoints was selected by means of a permutation test [13]. In order to facilitate an interpretation, the slopes were converted to annual percentage changes (APCs); i.e. the estimated annual change in rate from one joinpoint to the next in percentage. To gain a detailed overview, a weighted average of combined APCs, average annual per cent change (AAPC), were computed for the years 1980-1999 and 2003-2015. These periods were identified in a preliminary analysis as consecutive years of sufficient number and with a comparable trend. Data were analysed using SAS version 9.4 and the Joinpoint Regression Program version 4.5.0.1 [14].

Trial registration: not relevant.

RESULTS

Over the 35-year follow-up 35,083 suicides were registered in Denmark.

Joinpoint regression analysis

The joinpoint regression analysis identified four joinpoints; 1989, 1999, 2003, and 2007 (Figure 1). Based on these points, the trend was divided into five linear segments, each with a different slope. The APCs indicate

that between 1980 and 1989 the suicide rate decreased by 2.2% (95% CI: -2.9—-1.4%; $p < 0.05$) annually.

During 1989-1999, a declining trend of 6.2% (95% CI: -7.0—-5.3%; $p < 0.05$) was observed. In 1980, 1989, and 1999, the suicide rates were 40.4, 32.7, and 17.6 per 100,000 persons, respectively.

During subsequent segments, i.e. 1999-2003, 2003-2007, and 2007-2015, joinpoint regression presented evidence of further changes in rate with estimated roughly stable rates over the periods 1999-2003 and 2007-2015 and a decrease in rate from 2003 to 2007. These, however, failed to reach statistical significance. The AAPC showed a decline for the 1980-1999 period of 4.3% (95% CI: -4.8—-3.8%; $p < 0.05$) while a less steep decline of 2.6% (95% CI: -4.8—-0.3%; $p < 0.05$) was noted for the years 2003-2015. In other words, a significant decline was noted prior to 2000, and again after 2003, however, a seemingly more modest decrease.

Gender-specific rates

The gender-specific suicide rates followed a similar trend (Figure 2). From 1980 to 2015, a decrease from 53.0 (95% CI: 49.8-56.2) to 16.7 (95% CI: 15.1-18.4) suicides per 100,000 persons was observed for men. For women, the rate declined from 28.3 (95% CI: 26.0-30.6) to 7.2 (95% CI: 6.1-8.3). From 1980 to 2000, the reduction in the male and female suicide rates was 53.0% and 68.3%, respectively. The equivalent percentage reductions from 2000 to 2015 were 32.8% and 19.4%.

Throughout the period, the suicide rate was highest for men, and in 1980 the absolute difference between men and women was 24.7 while it in 2015 was 9.5 suicides per 100,000. However, the rate ratio increased from 1.9 (95% CI: 1.7-2.1) male per female suicides in 1980 to 2.3 (95% CI: 1.9-2.8) in 2015. Given the overlapping CI, it is not possible to speak of a significant increase.

Age-specific rates

Despite a parallel decline over time, the suicide rates differed by age groups (Figure 3). Specifically, an increasing rate was observed with increasing age. For the youngest age group, the rate decreased from 21.5 (95% CI: 10.21-23.8) per 100,000 persons in 1980 to 4.9 (95% CI: 3.7-6.0) in 2015. From 1980 to 2000, the rate of those aged 15-34 was reduced by approximately half.

The suicide rates for those aged 35-64 years were 53.8 (95% CI: 50.4-57.3), 18.5 (95% CI: 16.7-20.3) and 14.3 (95% CI: 12.7-15.8) per 100,000 persons in 1980, 2000 and 2015, respectively. The rates for persons aged 65 years or older were 48.3 (95% CI: 43.3-53.3), 26.4 (95% CI: 22.9-30.0) and 16.3 (95% CI: 13.8-18.7) for the same years.

FIGURE 3

Suicide rates per 100,000 persons by age groups in 1980-2015, for men and women jointly and with 95% confidence intervals.





FIGURE 4

Numbers of suicides for men and women in 2014, distributed by methods.



Methods of suicide

Apart from drowning, a greater occurrence of suicides by all methods was observed among men when compared with women in 2014 (Figure 4). This was pronounced for hanging, shooting, and jumping in front of moving objects. In relative terms, suicide by poisoning was more frequently seen among women, although, in absolute terms, the number of observations was higher among men.

During 2000-2014, most female suicides occurred by poisoning (41.3%) and hanging (29.0%). Drowning (9.7%) and jumping from a high place (7.0%) were also

relatively frequent methods, while shooting (0.9%) was rare. A large share of men died by hanging (42.7%) and poisoning (21.4%), while shooting (13.4%) also was a relatively frequent method.

DISCUSSION

To the authors' knowledge, this is the first study to investigate changes in the suicide rate in Denmark with joinpoint regression analysis. A significant decline was observed during the 1980-1999 period, whereafter more modest changes have been observed. The suicide rate was noticeably higher among men than women. With respect to age, the suicide rate was highest amongst older adults aged 65+ years.

Changes in the suicide rate

Our findings show that the suicide rate decreased by 2-6% annually until 1999, and during this period the rate was more than halved. This is consistent with previous studies [3, 4], and Nordentoft observed a correlation between this decrease and means restrictions [9]. The changes in the trend after 2000 are varying and more uncertain as noted in the APCs that fail to reach significance, and have not previously been addressed. During 2003 to 2015, the AAPCs indicated a significant decrease in the suicide rate. Yet, the decline is noticeably lower than in previous decades. The increased focus on suicide prevention with improved psychiatric treatment, the establishment of suicide prevention centres, and further means restrictions [7, 8, 15] have been suggested as explanations for the observed decline.

It is surprising that the suicide rate has seemingly been stagnating since 2007. There are several possible explanations for this. Firstly, targeted strategies might have missed high-risk groups, e.g. people with psychiatric disorders and/or substance misuse and homeless people. Secondly, the National Action Plan for Suicide Prevention was terminated in 2004, ending the coordinated effort for preventive initiatives. It seems that the current efforts are not producing a change in the suicide rate in Denmark, and further reductions hinge on new and effective preventive measures.

Although gender differences have decreased considerably since the early 1900s [2, 3], it is well established that men have higher rates than women [4]. This might be related to use of methods with higher lethality among men, such as shooting, hanging and jumping. These methods can be termed determinant methods, providing little opportunity for rescue, and as estimated by Miller et al, the case fatality rate is found to be higher among men [16]. Additionally, cultural norms and level of intent might affect both the notion of suicide as a resolution and the choice of a determinant method.

The rates by age groups followed the trend of the overall population. After 1987, the youngest and oldest age group had the lowest and highest suicide rate, respectively. For people aged 65+ years, the observed decline might be a result of improved health, medical care and living conditions in late life [5]. The age differences are consistent with previous studies [3]. However, a larger difference between the oldest age groups would have been expected [5]. It is possible that these were not visible due to the size of the age groups, e.g., Erlangsen observed an increased suicide rate for males aged above 80 years compared with males aged between 65-79 years [5]. Furthermore, some of the observed variation could be attributed to a cohort effect, as suggested by previous studies [3].

Suicide methods

The distribution of suicide methods in 2014 (Figure 4) illustrates the higher prevalence of male suicides by determinant methods. Restrictions on access to the means available for suicide methods have been identified as a promising prevention strategy [7-9, 15, 17]. In Denmark, past efforts have included reducing availability of barbiturates and carbon monoxide in household gas and catalytic converters on cars [9, 15, 17] as well as the recent pack size restriction on weak analgesics [18]. Further gains using this strategy could include fences on bridges and train tracks, platform doors and programmes to reduce illegal handguns [7, 8].

Strengths and limitations

The national data coverage was an advantage of the data analysis. The size of the study population increased the statistical strength of the calculations, although suicide is a relatively rare event. The accuracy of the suicide rates depends on correct registration in the COD. Changes in registration practice might influence the suicide numbers, especially from 1994, when the ICD-10 replaced the ICD-8. Furthermore, some level of under-reporting might exist [19]. Still, Tøllefsen et al observed that the decreasing suicide rate could not be explained by misclassifications alone [3]. The ICD-10 includes death of undetermined intent as a separate category; by only including "certain" suicides, the present study gained in external validity.

CONCLUSIONS

The suicide rate fell sharply between 1980-1999 in Denmark, but since then more modest changes have been observed. The rate is highest among the oldest age group and among males. Hanging, poisoning, and shooting were the most frequently used methods among men whereas it was poisoning followed by hanging, and drowning among women.

CORRESPONDENCE: *Susanne Mahmood Dyvesether.*

E-mail: susannedyvesether@gmail.com

ACCEPTED: 23 February 2018

CONFLICTS OF INTEREST: Disclosure forms provided by the authors are available with the full text of this article at www.danmedj.dk

LITERATURE

1. Dreyer K. Comparative suicide statistics. I. International comparisons. *Dan Med Bul* 1959;6:65-74.
2. Paerregaard G. Suicide in Denmark: a statistical review for the past 150 years. *Suicide Life Threat Behav* 1980;10:150-6.
3. Tøllefsen IM, Hem E, Ekeberg Ø et al. Differing procedures for recording mortality statistics in Scandinavia. *Crisis* 2017;38:123-30.
4. Titelman D, Oskarsson H, Wahlbeck K et al. Suicide mortality trends in the Nordic countries 1980-2009. *Nord J Psychiatry* 2013;67:414-23.
5. Erlangsen A, Bille-Brahe U, Jeune B. Differences in suicide between the old and the oldest old. *J Gerontol B Psychol Sci Soc Sci* 2003;58:314-22.
6. Qin P, Agerbo E, Mortensen PB. Suicide risk in relation to socioeconomic, demographic, psychiatric, and familial factors: a national register-based study of all suicides in Denmark, 1981-1997. *Am J Psychiatry* 2003;160:765-72.
7. Mann JJ, Apter A, Bertolote J et al. Suicide prevention strategies: a systematic review. *JAMA* 2005;294:2064-74.
8. Zalsman G, Hawton K, Wasserman D et al. Suicide prevention strategies revisited: 10-year systematic review. *Lancet Psychiatry* 2016;3:646-59.
9. Nordentoft M. Prevention of suicide and attempted suicide in Denmark. *Epidemiological studies of suicide and intervention studies in selected risk groups.* *Dan Med Bul* 2007;54:306-69.
10. Nordentoft M. Crucial elements in suicide prevention strategies. *Prog Neuropsychopharmacol Biol Psychiatry* 2011;35:848-53.
11. World Health Organization. Public health action for the prevention of suicide: a framework. World Health Organization, 2012. www.who.int/mental_health/publications/prevention_suicide_2012/en/ (13 Nov 2017).
12. Forslag til handlingsplan til forebyggelse af selvmordsforsøg og selvmord i Danmark. Copenhagen: Danish Health Authority, 1998. www.sst.dk (13 Nov 2017).
13. Kim HJ, Fay MP, Feuer EJ et al. Permutation tests for jointpoint regression with applications to cancer rates. *Stat Med* 2000;19:335-51.
14. Jointpoint regression program. Version 4.5.0.1. Statistical Methodology and Applications Branch, Surveillance Research Program, National Cancer Institute, 2017.
15. Nordentoft M, Qin P, Helweg-Larsen K et al. Time-trends in method-specific suicide rates compared with the availability of specific compounds. The Danish experience. *Nord J Psychiatry* 2006;60:97-106.
16. Miller M, Azrael D, Hemenway D. The epidemiology of case fatality rates for suicide in the northeast. *Ann Emerg Med* 2004;43:723-30.
17. Nordentoft M, Qin P, Helweg-Larsen K et al. Restrictions in means for suicide: an effective tool in preventing suicide: the Danish experience. *Suicide Life Threat Behav* 2007;37:688-97.
18. Sundheds- og Ældreministeriet. Hovedpinepiller i store pakninger kommer på recept. www.sum.dk/Aktuelt/Nyheder/Psykiatri/2013/April/Hovedpinepiller-i-store-pakninger-kommer-paa-recept.aspx (13 Nov 2017).
19. Pritchard C, Hansen L. Examining undetermined and accidental deaths as source of 'under-reported-suicide' by age and sex in twenty Western countries. *Community Ment Health J* 2015;51:365-76.