

APPENDIX B

Manuscript workflow for multi-centre trials

- □ Before initiating the study, any authorship issues will be clarified in the authorship contract (see Appendix A).
- □ The manuscript may be prepared by a writing group typically consisting of 3-5 members or may be drafted by the first author and then undergo critical revision among the co-authors.
- □ The authors in the byline of the manuscript may include more people than those participating in the writing group and this is clearly defined in the authorship contract.

BEFORE FIRST DRAFT

□ *2-3 weeks before* the first manuscript draft is ready, the author in charge of manuscript drafting should notify all co-authors by e-mail.

FIRST REVISION

- □ When distributing the manuscript draft to the co-authors, it should be pointed out that each co-author has e.g. a maximum of *two weeks* to revise the manuscript draft.
- □ If the co-author is not able to complete the revision within the timeframe, the author in charge of manuscript drafting should be notified as soon as possible.
- □ If a co-author does not report back in time, he/she should no longer be considered an author (cf. ICMJE authorship criterion two).
- □ After the specified timeframe, the author in charge of manuscript drafting prepares a new draft based on all co-authors' revisions.

SECOND REVISION

□ Next, the author in charge of the manuscript distributes a new manuscript draft to the coauthors with clearly identifiable corrections, and each co-author has e.g. *one week* to revise the new manuscript draft.

Again, if the co-author is unable to complete the revision in time, the author in charge of the manuscript should be notified as soon as possible.



FINAL APPROVAL

□ When the manuscript is finished and ready for submission, it should be sent to all co-authors for final approval (ICMJE authorship criterion three). The co-authors should be given only e.g. *two days* to respond to the final approval of the manuscript. The final approval is given by e-mail as a response back to the author in charge of the manuscript and thereafter the manuscript can be submitted to a journal.

Revision of the manuscript after editorial response from a journal

- □ There are no strict rules regarding involvement of co-authors in the revision process, although it may be considered good ethical behaviour to at least get critical revisions and a final approval from all co-authors before submitting the revised manuscript.
- □ Thus, most often the first and last author will collaborate on the preparation of a revised version of the manuscript taking into account all the issues raised by the editor and peer-reviewers. The final revised manuscript together with a cover letter describing all the changes made will then be sent to all co-authors for final approval before re-submission to the journal. A fair amount of time for this process of final approval would be e.g. *one week*.
- □ Final approval of the revised manuscript should be given as e-mail responses from the coauthors back to the author in charge of the manuscript before submission.

Signatures: